Literature Study: Analysis of Implementation Children Learning in Science Learning Model in Elementary Schools

Conny Dian Sumadi *

* Universitas trunojoyo Madura, Jawa Timur, Indonesia

Conny.diansumadi@trunojovo.ac.id, https://orcid.org/0009-0001-2709-9202

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21107/Widyagogik/v11i3.25194
Received January 02, 2024; January 11, 2024; Accepted February 21, 2024

Abstract

This research aim to examine the literature on the analysis of the Implementation of the Children Learning in Science (CLIS) Learning Model in Elementary Schools. The data source used is research that has been published in scientific journals in Indonesia. The technique used is the purposive sampling technique via Google Scholar. This literature study was obtained from research articles from 2019-2024. The reference article focuses on the Children Learning in Science (CLIS) model in elementary schools. The method used in this study is a literature study by identifying, screening and eligibility for 8 articles were selected that were relevant to the aims and problems raised by the author. It can be concluded that the implementation of Children Learning in Science (CLIS) gives good results in learning especially in Science matters. The results are not only for the learning outcome but also in learning activities, science process skill

Keywords - CLIS; Science; Elementary School



© 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution ShareAlike (CC BY SA) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Science study about the relationship between humans and nature through the process of observing, collecting material logically, systematically with the aim of discovering concepts (Tistawati, 2022), (Saputra, 2023). In accommodating the science learning process, there needs to be a delivery method and process with the right model (Sumadi et al., 2022). The learning model used will be very influential on the success of the learning process. The current learning process means that teachers are more active than students. Students are used to listening, and given fewer opportunities to search for and build knowledge independently (Sidik, 2016), (Darsanianti et al., 2024), (Krismayoni & Suarni, 2020).

The CLIS model in learning can develop an idea from a problem through experiments by requiring students to be active. The learning using the CLIS model emphasizes hands-on/mind-on activities for students. The CLIS learning model is based on the constructivist view, that children construct or build knowledge. Learning using the CLIS model connects initial knowledge with the knowledge to be taught (Sidik, 2016). The CLIS model can foster active and positive habits within improve student's science process skills. Using the CLIS model, students can be motivated in learning activities because they are fun and the teacher links the knowledge between students and the learning material to be studied. Learning using this model can help students understand learning with questions that are easy for students to understand (Nugraha et al., 2023). The experiences that students experience themselves in everyday life can be used as material for teachers to ask questions (Haerunnisa, 2023). The Children Learning in Science (CLIS) learning model aims to form knowledge or concepts into the memory of students so that the knowledge or concepts can be stored in the students' memories for a long time (Ginanjar et al., 2019).

The CLIS (Children Learning In Science) learning model is a learning model that seeks to develop students' ideas or ideas to create science learning that is based on the student's own experiences and lives. In the implementation of the

Children Learning in Science (CLIS) learning model of course there are steps in implementing it. The steps in the Children Learning in Science (CLIS) learning model consists of five main stages, namely orientation (a) elicitation of ideas (b) restructuring of ideas (c) implementation of ideas or application of ideas (d) review of changes in ideas (e) The idea rearrangement stage is still divided into three parts (i) the exposure to a conflict situation (ii) the construction of new ideas (iii) the construction of new ideas and evaluation (Krismayoni & Suarni, 2020).

Learning activities that use the CLIS model involve students in practical activities, experiments, presenting, interpreting, predicting, and concluding. This allows students more than active in groups, construct their knowledge, and be directly involved in learning activities. CLIS learning model can create an active and enjoyable learning atmosphere so that students obtain optimal learning outcomes. The CLIS learning model aims to increase visual, oral, listening, writing, mental, motoric, and emotional activities in science learning. Learning by doing is a learning concept that requires the integration of efforts to gain impressions by doing (Tistawati, 2022).

Looking at the background and several opinions above, the author is interested in conducting research entitled "Literature Study: Analysis of the Implementation of the Children Learning in Science (CLIS) Learning Model in Elementary Schools" by conducting a literature review. The problem formulation in this research is 1) How to apply the CLIS model to elementary school science lessons using content analysis in several educational scientific journals published in Indonesia from 2019-2024. It is hoped that the results of this research can add comprehensive insight as a basis for conducting CLIS research. This also provides a brief overview of the theoretical frame of reference for applying the CLIS model to elementary science subjects.

2. Method

The data source used is research that has been published in scientific journals in Indonesia. The technique used is the purposive sampling technique via Google Scholar (Sumadi, 2023). This literature study was obtained from research articles from 2019-2024. The reference article focuses on the Children Learning in Science (CLIS) model in elementary schools. Article selection is carried out through an identification, screening, and eligibility process. Of the many articles found through searches on Google Scholar, 8 articles were selected that were relevant to the aims and problems raised by the author (Sumadi et al., 2022).

3. Result and Discussion

The results presented in the table below:

Table 1. Analysis of Literature Search Synthesis

posttest improve student learning activities 2 (Krismayoni & Quasi experiment 5th The CLIS model is more Suarni, 2020) Nonequivalent optimal than the conventional model control group design 3 (Hujrotunnah Pre-experimental 5th The Children Learning in diyah & One Group Pretest-Ratnasari, Posttests model on the science	No.	Author	Types of research	Grade	Result
posttest improve student learning activities (Krismayoni & Quasi experiment Sth The CLIS model is more optimal than the conventional model conventional model (Hujrotunnah Pre-experimental diyah & One Group Pretest-Ratnasari, Posttests Posttests Posttests (Franata et al., Pre-experimental 2022) One Group Pretest-Posttests improve student learning outcomes (Franata et al., Posttests improve student learning outcomes	1	(Darsanianti	Experiment	5 th	The Children Learning in
2 (Krismayoni & Quasi experiment 5th The CLIS model is more Suarni, 2020) Nonequivalent post-test only control group design 3 (Hujrotunnah Pre-experimental 5th Science (CLIS) learning in diyah & One Group Pretest-Ratnasari, Posttests model on the science learning outcomes of grad V students is influence in science learning ln Science (CLIS) model can improve student learning outcomes		et al., 2024)	One group pretest-		Science learning model can
2 (Krismayoni & Quasi experiment 5th The CLIS model is more optimal than the post-test only control group design 3 (Hujrotunnah Pre-experimental diyah & One Group Pretest-2023) Ratnasari, Posttests model on the science learning outcomes of grade V students is influence in science learning In One Group Pretest-Posttests improve student learning outcomes			posttest		improve student learning
Suarni, 2020) Nonequivalent post-test only conventional model Conventional model The Children Learning in Science (CLIS) learning model on the science learning outcomes of grade V students is influence in science learning (Franata et al., Pre-experimental 2022) One Group Pretest-Posttests One Group Pretest-Posttest One Group Pretest One Group Pretest One Group Pretest One Group Pretest					activities
post-test only conventional model design (Hujrotunnah Pre-experimental 5th Science (CLIS) learning in diyah & One Group Pretest-Ratnasari, Posttests model on the science learning outcomes of grade V students is influence in science learning (Franata et al., Pre-experimental 5th Science (CLIS) model can improve student learning outcomes	2	(Krismayoni &	Quasi experiment	5 th	The CLIS model is more
control group design 3 (Hujrotunnah Pre-experimental 5 th The Children Learning in diyah & One Group Pretest- Ratnasari, Posttests model on the science 2023) learning outcomes of grad V students is influence in science learning 4 (Franata et al., Pre-experimental 2022) One Group Pretest- Posttests improve student learning outcomes		Suarni, 2020)	Nonequivalent		optimal than the
design (Hujrotunnah Pre-experimental 5 th The Children Learning in diyah & One Group Pretest-Ratnasari, Posttests model on the science learning outcomes of grade V students is influence in science learning (Franata et al., Pre-experimental 5 th The Children Learning In Science (CLIS) model can improve student learning outcomes			post-test only		conventional model
3 (Hujrotunnah Pre-experimental 5 th The Children Learning in diyah & One Group Pretest-Posttests Posttests Posttests Postests Pre-experimental 5 th The Children Learning in Science (CLIS) learning model on the science learning outcomes of grade V students is influence in science learning Pre-experimental Sthead Pre-experimental Sthead Posttests Science (CLIS) model can improve student learning outcomes			control group		
diyah & One Group Pretest- Ratnasari, Posttests model on the science 2023) learning outcomes of grad V students is influence in science learning 4 (Franata et al., Pre-experimental 2022) One Group Pretest- Posttests improve student learning outcomes			design		
Ratnasari, Posttests model on the science 2023) learning outcomes of grad V students is influence in science learning 4 (Franata et al., Pre-experimental 2022) One Group Pretest- Posttests improve student learning outcomes	3	(Hujrotunnah	Pre-experimental	5 th	The Children Learning in
2023) learning outcomes of grad V students is influence in science learning 4 (Franata et al., Pre-experimental 5 th The Children Learning In 2022) One Group Pretest- Posttests improve student learning outcomes		diyah &	One Group Pretest-		Science (CLIS) learning
V students is influence in science learning 4 (Franata et al., Pre-experimental 5 th The Children Learning In 2022) One Group Pretest-Posttests improve student learning outcomes		Ratnasari,	Posttests		model on the science
4 (Franata et al., Pre-experimental 5 th The Children Learning In 2022) One Group Pretest-Posttests improve student learning outcomes		2023)			learning outcomes of grade
4 (Franata et al., Pre-experimental 5 th The Children Learning In 2022) One Group Pretest-Posttests improve student learning outcomes					V students is influence in
2022) One Group Pretest- Science (CLIS) model can improve student learning outcomes					science learning
Posttests improve student learning outcomes	4	(Franata et al.,	Pre-experimental	5 th	The Children Learning In
outcomes		2022)	One Group Pretest-		Science (CLIS) model can
			Posttests		improve student learning
5 (Haerunnisa, Class Action 4 th The Children Learning in					outcomes
	5	(Haerunnisa,	Class Action	4 th	The Children Learning in
2023) Research Science learning model ca		2023)	Research		Science learning model can

Conny Dian Sumadi

improve student learning outcomes in the second cycle (Tistawati, Class Action 5th The Children Learning in Science learning model can improve student learning activities (Ginanjar et al., 2019) Non Equivalent Pretest-Posttest Control Group Design application of the Children Learning In Science (CLIS) learning model in the experimental class compared to the conventional learning model in the control group design (Nugraha et al., 2023) Pretest-posttest control group design (Nugraha et control group design (Nugraha et design) (Nugraha et control group design) (Nugraha et control group can have an impact on the science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science learning model can have an impact on the science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science learning model can have an impact on the science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science learning model can have an impact on the science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science learning model					
6 (Tistawati, 2022) Research 5th The Children Learning in Science learning model can improve student learning activities 7 (Ginanjar et al., 2019) Non Equivalent Pretest-Posttest Control Group Design Design application of the Children Learning In Science (CLIS) learning model in the experimental class compared to the conventional learning model in the control class. 8 (Nugraha et al., 2023) Pretest-posttest control group design Science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science					outcomes in the second
2022) Research Science learning model can improve student learning activities 7 (Ginanjar et al., 2019) Non Equivalent Pretest-Posttest Control Group Outcomes with the Design Application of the Children Learning model in the experimental class compared to the conventional learning model in the control class. 8 (Nugraha et al., 2023) Pretest-posttest control group design Science process skills of students who use the Children Learning ln Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science	6	(Tistawati,	Class Action	5 th	•
improve student learning activities 7 (Ginanjar et al., 2019) Non Equivalent Pretest-Posttest Control Group Outcomes with the Design Application of the Children Learning In Science (CLIS) learning model in the experimental class compared to the conventional learning model in the control class. 8 (Nugraha et al., 2023) Pretest-posttest control group design Science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science		2022)	Research		
7 (Ginanjar et al., 2019) Non Equivalent Pretest-Posttest Control Group Design Outcomes with the application of the Children Learning In Science (CLIS) learning model in the experimental class compared to the conventional learning model in the control group al., 2023) 8 (Nugraha et control group design Outcomes with the application of the Children Learning In Science (CLIS) learning model in the experimental class compared to the conventional learning model in the control class. 8 (Nugraha et control group can have an impact on the design Outcomes with the application of the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science		•			-
al., 2019) Non Equivalent Pretest-Posttest Control Group Design Desi					activities
Pretest-Posttest Control Group Design	7	(Ginanjar et	Quasi experiment	5 th	There is a difference in the
Control Group Design		al., 2019)	Non Equivalent		increase in students'
Design application of the Children Learning In Science (CLIS) learning model in the experimental class compared to the conventional learning model in the control class. 8 (Nugraha et Quasi experiment 5 th The Children Learning al., 2023) pretest-posttest control group design science learning model can have an impact on the science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science			Pretest-Posttest		cognitive learning
Learning In Science (CLIS) learning model in the experimental class compared to the conventional learning model in the control class. 8 (Nugraha et Quasi experiment 5th The Children Learning al., 2023) pretest-posttest In Science learning model control group can have an impact on the design science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science			Control Group		outcomes with the
learning model in the experimental class compared to the conventional learning model in the control class. 8 (Nugraha et Quasi experiment 5th The Children Learning al., 2023) pretest-posttest control group can have an impact on the science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science			Design		application of the Children
experimental class compared to the conventional learning model in the control class. 8 (Nugraha et Quasi experiment 5 th The Children Learning al., 2023) pretest-posttest control group design Science learning model can have an impact on the science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science					Learning In Science (CLIS)
Compared to the conventional learning model in the control class. 8 (Nugraha et al., 2023) pretest-posttest control group can have an impact on the design science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science					learning model in the
Conventional learning model in the control class. 8 (Nugraha et al., 2023) pretest-posttest control group can have an impact on the design science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science					experimental class
8 (Nugraha et Quasi experiment 5 th The Children Learning al., 2023) pretest-posttest control group can have an impact on the design science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science					compared to the
8 (Nugraha et Quasi experiment 5 th The Children Learning al., 2023) pretest-posttest control group can have an impact on the design science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science					conventional learning
al., 2023) pretest-posttest In Science learning model can have an impact on the design science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science					model in the control class.
control group design science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science	8	(Nugraha et	Quasi experiment	5 th	The Children Learning
design science process skills of students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science		al., 2023)	·		•
students who use the Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science			control group		•
Children Learning In Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science			design		·
Science learning model compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science					students who use the
compared to those who do not use the Children Learning In Science					Children Learning In
not use the Children Learning In Science					Science learning model
Learning In Science					•
					not use the Children
learning mode					Learning In Science
					learning mode

Based on Table 1, the Analysis of Literature Search Synthesis shows that the implementation of the Children Learning in Science (CLIS) model yields positive results in learning, particularly in science subjects. These results are evident not only in learning outcomes but also in learning activities and science process skills. The most common method used to apply this model is experimentation with various treatment designs. The choice of research method must align with the research to be conducted (Randler & Bogner, 2008).

For the subject of the experiment, almost all implementations were conducted with 5th-grade students, categorized as higher-grade levels. According to Morin (n.d.), grades IV and V are years of growth in many ways—socially, academically, and physically—so that students can organize, plan, and begin to have an active social and emotional life. Socially, higher-grade students can effectively carry out the stages in the CLIS model.

The implementation of the CLIS model in science education at higher-grade levels provides opportunities for students to engage actively in experiments and scientific processes. This allows them to develop critical thinking skills, problem-solving abilities, and the capacity to work collaboratively. Additionally, this approach can increase students' interest and motivation in learning science, which, in turn, can improve their academic performance.

In conducting experiments, the variation in treatment designs may include different approaches, such as using instructional aids, conducting laboratory activities, or implementing inquiry-based projects. Well-structured research and the use of appropriate methods will ensure that the results obtained are reliable and contribute significantly to understanding the effectiveness of the CLIS model in science education.

Thus, the implementation of the CLIS model not only enhances students' learning outcomes but also develops essential skills and abilities for future learning. The appropriate selection of methods and subjects is crucial for achieving optimal results in this research.

4. Conclusion

Based on a review of literature studies and discussions that have been stated previously chapter. It can be concluded that the implementation of Children Learning in Science (CLIS) gives good results in learning especially in Science matters. The results are not only for the learning outcome but also in learning activities, science process skill

Reference

- Darsanianti, Kune, S., & Ristiana, E. (2024). Implementasi Model Pembelajaran Children Learning in Science (CLIS) dalam Pembelajaran IPA Sekolah Dasar. *Journal of Eduation Research*, 5(1), 189–196.
- Franata, M. A., Hajani, T. J., & Rosalina, E. (2022). Penerapan Model Pembelajaran CLIS (Children Learning in Science) Terhadap Hasil Belajar Siswa Kelas V SD Muhammadiyah 65 Sumber Harta. *Journal Science Education*, 2(3), 1–5.
- Ginanjar, A. A., Handoko, S., & Sukmana, R. W. (2019). Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Children Learning in Science (CLIS) untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Kognitif Peserta Didik pada Mata Pelajaran IPA. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran*, 17(2), 132–137. http://jurnal.fkip.unla.ac.id/index.php/educare/article/view/253
- Haerunnisa, A. (2023). Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Children Learning In Science Untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Siswa Pada Mata Pelajaran IPA di SDN Inpres Balang-Balang Kab. Gowa. *Jurnal Inovasi Pedagogi & Teknologi (JIPTek)*, 1(2), 1–8.
- Hujrotunnahdiyah, & Ratnasari, D. T. (2023). Childrens's Learning in Science (CLIS) Learning Model in Science Learning in Primary School. *Journal of Indonesian Elementary Education*, 1(1), 57–68.
- Krismayoni, P. A. W., & Suarni, N. K. (2020). Pembelajaran IPA dengan Model Pembelajaran Children Learning In Science Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Ditinjau Dari Minat Belajar. *Jurnal Pedagogi Dan Pembelajaran*, 3(2), 138. https://doi.org/10.23887/jp2.v3i2.25258
- Morin, A. (n.d.). *Developmental Milestones for Ages 10-11*. https://www.understood.org/en/learning-attention-issues/signs-symptoms/developmental-milestones/developmental-milestones-for-typical-fourth-and-fifth-graders
- Nugraha, F. F., Rahmat, P. S., & Ramadhina, V. (2023). The Influence of Children Learning in Science Models on Students' Science Process Skills. *Journal of Education Experts*, 6(1), 86–93. https://doi.org/10.30740/jee.v6i2.202
- Randler, C., & Bogner, F. X. (2008). Planning Experiments in Science Education Research: Comparison of a Quasi-Experimental Approach with a Matched Pair Tandem Design. *International Journal of Environmental & Science Education*, *3*(3), 95–103. http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ894853.pdf
- Saputra, I. M. A. S. et al. (2023). Model Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning Berbantuan Mind Mapping Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar IPA Kelas V. *MIMBAR PGSD Undiksha*, 11(1), 41–47. https://doi.org/10.23887/jjpgsd.v11i1.60203
- Sidik, N. R. (2016). The Effect of Children Learning in Science (CLIS) Model in Science Process Skills of Elementary Students. *Social, Humanities, and Education Studies* (SHEs): Conference Series, 4(6), 1–23.
- Sumadi, C. D. (2023). Analisis Isi Penelitian Model Pembelajaran Problem Based Learning terhadap Pembelajaran IPA SD di Indonesia. *DWIJA CENDEKIA: Jurnal Riset Pedagogik*, 7(3), 836–847. https://doi.org/10.20961/jdc.v7i3.78526
- Sumadi, C. D., Hidayat, A., & Agustina, I. (2022). Literature Study: Analysis of Learning

Facilities in the Pandemic Era on the Effectiveness of Online Learning in Elementary School. *Widyagogik: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran Sekolah Dasar*, *9*(2), 183–190. https://doi.org/10.21107/widyagogik.v9i2.13808

Tistawati, N. K. (2022). Supervisi yang Berkesinambungan untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Melaksanakan Proses Pembelajaran. *Journal of Education Action Research*, *6*(1), 80. https://doi.org/10.23887/jear.v6i1.44467