SUCCESSFUL VANAME SHRIMP HARVEST: PREDICTION AND PROCESS IMPROVEMENT
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Abstract

The demand for shrimp from Indonesia continues to increase every year, thus creating more significant interest in the shrimp farming industry. Although shrimp is relatively easy to farm, many variables affect the harvest’s success. The harvest in shrimp farming is calculated using % SR (Survival Rate). Our research uses machine learning approaches: logistic regression (LR), decision tree (DT) and k-Nearest Neighbour (KNN). LR, DT and KNN will be used to predict whether we will have a successful harvest. From these predictions, we also provide suggestions for business improvements to utilize data. The expected result of such advice is that the business can improve its performance and get more consistent results.
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INTRODUCTION

The development of shrimp farming increased, especially after the issuance of the Minister of Marine Affairs and Fisheries Decree of the Republic of Indonesia number 41/2001. The government took this step as an anticipatory step after the decline in tiger shrimp (Penaeus monodon) production since 1996. The development of Vaname shrimp is so rapid that in 2004 Vaname shrimp production could beat Tiger shrimp production, with total global production reaching 1.1 million tons¹. The market for Vaname shrimp was kept strong, although small or mid-scale industries suffered during the Covid-19 ²


Bangka Belitung Province is a suitable area for the development of Vaname shrimp. The suitability is proven by the continued increase in farmers on Bangka Island since 2015, reaching 1 million hectares. Contrary, the biggest problem for shrimp farmers is harvest failure. Many things can cause the failure, starting from the quality of seed, feed, water conditions and development, plankton conditions, weather, and so on.

This research was based from PT FEI. PT FEI is a traditional shrimp farm located on Bangka Island. PT FEI’s pond has been operating since 2020. This company is a small and medium-sized company whose all operating processes are still carried out traditionally. PT FEI had experienced harvest failure in the last 3-4 harvests. The biggest problem is that they do not know what caused the successful and failed harvest.

From the results of interviews in the field, they confirmed that everything they did was the same even though the results were different. We realize that the economic conditions of workers were increasingly tricky due to continuously failed harvests without knowing the cause. From the field visit, we could observe that PT FEI has made various efforts and tests so that yields improved. It is exciting to investigate by linking several scientific principles of operations management to increase productivity characterized by successful harvests.

In general, one of the challenges in operations management is how to improve successful harvest that PT FEI also experienced. With various crop failures that have been experienced, PT FEI needs to improve its operation management steps. The Operational Management reference provides an example of how process improvements in operating processes can increase productivity. An article explains how the use of data can help to improve results.

This study discusses the process of shrimp cultivation, which consists of pond preparation, hatchery, and growth. The growing process includes selecting and spreading seeds, maintaining water and feed quality, and disease control to harvest. Harvest success is calculated using Survival Rate, which compares the number of shrimps at harvest time with the number of seeds speeded. Data-driven analysis can be done using machine learning to increase agriculture and manufacturing productivity. This study aims to predict harvest success factors using machine learning, design improvements to the “Data Utilization” business process to produce more optimal results, and design data management systems that can support the shrimp farming business.

---
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visualizations to support further actions. This study also aims to provide strategies for utilizing daily data to support productivity. This paper uses data from PT FEI (aka).

RESEARCH METHODS

This research combines qualitative and quantitative approaches with business strategies to achieve optimal production results. The qualitative approach is carried out by field observation and interviews, while the quantitative approach is carried out by data analysis using machine learning. This research includes classifying predictive analysis with KNN, LR, and DT approaches. The data used came from PT FEI, with 930 data analyzed. The operational variables used are pH, salinity, crop yield, NH₄⁺, NOₓ, and ratio of adverse bacteria. Data is processed using Python. The model refers to previous research using machine learning frameworks and methods. This study aims to increase productivity results in the shrimp fishing industry by predicting harvest success.
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RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Data Analysis with Machine Learning

The selected machine learning model is based on several reference journals¹⁸,¹⁹: k-Nearest Neighbor, Decision Tree, and Logistic Regression. Good predictions are indicated by higher accuracy.

The variables used are data on PT FEI: Salinity, pH, NH₄⁺, NOₓ, and the ratio of adverse bacteria. Category for successful harvest if SR ≥ 75%. The results of previous studies show that NH₄⁺, salinity, pH, and NOₓ can determine the success of shrimp harvest.

Table 1. Variables from previous research

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Variables Determining Crop Success</th>
<th>Information From Previous Research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>NH₄⁺ and salinity</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>pH and salinity</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>pH, Salinity, NH₄⁺, NOₓ</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


²⁰ Whiting, Tolley, and Fellingham, CLXXXII; Rahman, Arnold, and Dabrowski.

²¹ Rahman and others.
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Here is a prediction of a successful harvest using Python

**k Nearest Neighbor**

```python
classifier = KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors = 2)
classifier.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_pred = classifier.predict(X_test)
```

```python
accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred)
```

**Decision Tree**

```python
classifier = DecisionTreeClassifier()
classifier = classifier.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_pred = classifier.predict(X_test)
```

```python
print('Accuracy Score:', accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred))
```

**Logistic Regression**

```python
model=LogisticRegression()
model.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_pred=model.predict(X_test)
```

```python
accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred)
```

We do several variations of model analysis as follows:

1. Variables according to references

   The accuracy of predicting crop success with machine learning according to reference variables results in different accuracy. The accuracy with the highest value comes from the Decision Tree model. The kNN and Logistic Regression’s accuracy result almost the same range.
Table 2. % Accuracy using variables that influenced the success of shrimp harvest from previous studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Variables Determining Crop Success</th>
<th>kNN</th>
<th>DT</th>
<th>LR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>NH₄⁺ and salinity</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>pH and salinity</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>pH, salinity, NH₄⁺, NOx</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accuracy of the Decision Tree gives the best results. The variables with the lowest accuracy are pH and salinity; it’s quite different from the references that will be analyzed further.

2. Are pH and salinity variables that can predict crop yield?

Based on references above, pH and salinity are critical factors determining the harvest’s success. However, judging by the model, the accuracy is between 70-88%. After iterating again, accuracy will be better without using pH and salinity. The result was different than the reference that mentioned pH and salinity are critical factor to determine harvest’s success²³

Table 3. Accuracy Prediction With Variables From References

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Variables Determining Crop Success</th>
<th>kNN</th>
<th>DT</th>
<th>LR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From Previous Study</td>
<td>pH, Salinity, NH₄⁺, NOx</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>pH, NH₄⁺, NOx</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>NH₄⁺, NOx</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. How does the ratio of adverse bacteria affect the accuracy of the model?

²³ Rahman and others.
The ratio of adverse bacteria is one of the measurement results in PT FEI. We also investigate how the adverse bacteria ratio affects the harvest’s success.

**Table 4. Accuracy Prediction With Ratio of Adverse Bacteria Variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Variables Determining Crop Success</th>
<th>kNN</th>
<th>DT</th>
<th>LR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From Previous Study</td>
<td>pH, Salinity, NH₄⁺, NOx</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>The ratio of adverse bacteria, pH, NH₄⁺, NOx</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>The ratio of adverse bacteria, NH₄⁺, NOx</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>The ratio of adverse bacteria, NH₄⁺</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>The ratio of adverse bacteria, NOx⁺</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis results show that the adverse bacteria ratio affects the model’s accuracy, especially for kNN and DT. The combination that provides the best accuracy is the ratio of adverse bacteria, and NH₄⁺. This result is somewhat different from the reference, which states that salinity and pH are variables that affect successful harvest.

From the model analysis results, pH and salinity provide less accuracy, while other variables, such as the ratio of adverse bacteria, NH₄⁺, and NOx, have a positive influence. We conducted a fishbone analysis to look for potential root causes,

a. Salinity and pH are the results of internal measurements. External labs made other measurements, such as NOx, NH₄⁺, and adverse bacteria ratios. Although internal and external measurement are derived from the same sample.

b. Internal logging is still not tidy, with many input errors and inconsistent recording. PT FEI have not utilized the data yet. Data from internal and external are only for record.

**Analysis of process improvements for data utilization**

The potential root causes of data utilization is in-line with the observation. From the observation and interview at PT FEI as well as looking at the results of data analysis they need process improvement so that data can be utilized as well as possible, especially for performance improvement and predict the successful harvest. This condition is because there is no awareness of using existing data. From the machine learning approach results, we can know that crop yields can be used to predict crop success.
Figure 1. Current data utilization

Using the fishbones analysis approach, the factor that causes the data not to be utilized properly is that there is no process for utilizing the data to help the decision-making process. Apart from the absence of a process, PT FEI does not have tools to facilitate work. The following is an improvement process for data utilization so that it can help the overall final result.

Figure 2. Process of improving data utilization
CONCLUSION

Machine Learning with k-Nearest Neighbour models, Decision Trees, and Logistic Regression can be used to predict shrimp harvest success. The Decision Tree model provides the best accuracy results, and the accuracy results of Regression Logistics are the lowest. The critical thing in machine learning is the data analysed. The quality of the data used affects the accuracy of the results. Productivity can be increased by utilized data optimally as the guidance for the decision.
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