

# The Effect Ease of Use and Perceived Risk On Purchasing Decisions On Marketplace Shopee

Dimas Setiawan Wicaksono<sup>1</sup>, M Dian Ruhamak<sup>2</sup>, Nonni Yap<sup>3</sup>

1,2,3 Faculty of Economics and Business, Kadiri University

ARTICLE INFO	Abstract	
Article History:   Accepted : Desember 2023   Fixed : Desember 2023   Approved : Desember 2023   Keywords:   Marketplace; ease of use; Perceived risk; purchasing decisions	The purpose of this study is to determine the on purchasing decisions on marketplace shop the explanatory research type with a quantita study consists of Shopee marketplace users in size is unknown. The sampling technique u sampling with purposive sampling technique respondents, determined using the Lemeshow using multiple linear regression or description study is performed using SPSS version 23. (1) Ease of use has a partial significant post with a significance value of 0.000 < 0.05. (1) Ease of use has a partial significant post with a significance value of 0.000 < 0.05. (2) significant negative effect on purchasing decisionss, with a co influence on purchasing decisionss, with a co table value of 2.69, and the obtained significant	e effect ease of use and Perceived risk pee in kediri city. This research is of ative approach. The population of the Kediri city, and the exact population sed in this study is non-probability . The sample size in this study is 100 of formula. Data analysis is conducted we statistics. Data processing in this The results of this study show that: itive effect on purchasing decisionss (2) Perceived risk also has a partial cisionss with a significance value of and Perceived risk have a significant falculated F count of 19.831 > the F ince value is 0.00 < a 0.05
	Abstraks	
Kata Kunci: Marketplace; Kemudahan penggunaan; Persepsi risiko; keputusan pembelian DOI: 10.21107/jsmb.v10i2.20895	Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah untu dahan penggunaan dan persepsi risiko pada <i>marketplace</i> shopee di kota kediri. Je <i>tory research</i> dengan pendekatan kuanti dari pengguna <i>marketplace</i> Shopee di ko pastinya tidak diketahui. Teknik penga dalam penelitian ini adalah <i>non-probabil</i> <i>posive</i> sampling. Besar sampel dalam per yang ditentukan dengan menggunakan dilakukan dengan menggunakan regre deskriptif. Pengolahan data dalam per menggunakan SPSS versi 23. Hasil penel Kemudahan penggunaan secara parsia keputusan pembelian dengan nilai signi Risiko juga secara parsial berpengaruh n tusan pembelian dengan nilai signifikans kemudahan penggunaan dan Persepsi ri hadap keputusan pembelian, dengan F tabel sebesar 2,69, dan diperoleh nilai signi	k mengetahui pengaruh kemu- terhadap keputusan pembelian enis penelitian ini adalah <i>explana</i> - itatif. Populasi penelitian terdiri ota Kediri, dan jumlah populasi mbilan sampel yang digunakan <i>ity</i> sampling dengan teknik <i>pur</i> - nelitian ini adalah 100 responden rumus Lemeshow. Analisis data si linier berganda atau statistik enelitian ini dilakukan dengan itian ini menunjukkan bahwa: (1) l berpengaruh positif signifikan ifikansi 0,000 < 0,05. (2) Persepsi negatif signifikan terhadap kepu- si 0,002 < 0,05. (3) Secara simultan isiko berpengaruh signifikan ter- hitung sebesar 19,831 > nilai F gnifikansi 0,000 < $\alpha$ 0,05.
Name: Dimas Setiawan Wicaksono Email: dimassetiawanwicaksana92@om	uail.com	ISSN: 2355-9543 (Print) ISSN: 2460-3775 (Online)

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The rapid progress of time has brought about significant changes in various aspects of life, one of which is technology. Technological advancements have experienced significant growth in parallel with the progress of time. The existence of the internet is a manifestation of technological advancement that has transformed daily activities, including buying and selling transactions (Salsabila, 2021). In the past, purchases and sales were done manually through face-to-face interactions between sellers and buyers. However, now these transactions can be easily, quickly, and efficiently conducted through the internet. Sellers and buyers can engage in transactions without meeting in person, using the internet as a tool for buying and selling.

According to data (*Asosiasi Penyelenggara Jasa Internet Indonesia*, n.d.) internet usage in Indonesia continues to increase every year. In the period of 2021-2022, approximately 210 million Indonesians were connected to the internet out of a total population of 272 million. The data indicates that 79% of the 7,568 respondents used the internet for online transactions, highlighting the importance of the internet in online buying and selling activities.

Marketplace is an online platform for buying and selling goods and services. Marketplaces have broad and unrestricted markets where transactions are conducted online, and buyers do not directly meet sellers. However, trust can still be built until a transaction occurs, and buyers can assess the seller's credibility through reviews made by other buyers (Yap et al., 2022). The facilities provided by marketplaces make it easy, fast, and affordable for people to transact without limitations of space, distance, or time (Ramadhani & Irda, 2022). The marketplace industry in Indonesia has experienced rapid growth, leading to a shift in consumer behavior from traditional shopping to online shopping, which is easier and more convenient for meeting their needs. This has encouraged market participants to compete in attracting buyers (Mar'atul Fahimah, 2019). According to data (Iprice, Top 50 E-Commerce Sites & Apps in Indonesia, n.d.) Shopee has become a popular marketplace in Indonesia with a high number of visitors in the first and second quarters of 2022. However, there has been a decline in visitors in the second quarter. This decline may be attributed to difficulties in using the Shopee application and high risks such as lost or incorrect items. Consumers may need to claim insurance or file complaints with the store, which can be time-consuming and may receive slow responses from the sellers.

According to (Agustiningrum & Andjarwati,

2021) the purchasing decision is the final decision indicating that a consumer is buying the desired and chosen product or service. One of the factors influencing the purchasing decision in the marketplace is ease of use. Ease of use is an important factor in the purchasing decision on marketplace applications or platforms. New potential customers who interact with online technology often face difficulties and tend to abandon their intention to make a purchase due to the complexity of the process. Incomplete, complex, and difficult-to-understand features can reduce user interest in using the platform (Septiani & Widayatsari, 2020). According to (Li et al., 2020) applications or platforms should be quick and easy to use for users because it helps them determine whether the application is user-friendly or difficult to use. According to (Sudarwanto et al., 2021) state that ease of use, also known as ease of use, should be designed to be as easy as possible without burdening the users with complex processes. According to Davis cited in the study by (Alatas et al., 2019) there are several indicators that can measure the ease of use for users, including: easy to learn, controllable, flexible, easy to use, clear, and understandable.

In addition, perceived has an influence on purchasing decisions (Yunita et al., 2019). Perceived refers to the unpleasant consequences of consumer decisions that are considered to have uncertain outcomes (Haryani, 2019). According to (Suaidi et al., 2022) perceived is the main reason why consumers make purchasing decisions for both goods and services on marketplaces. Suresh and Shashikala, as cited in the study by (Rusilawati et al., 2022). identified three indicators of perceived: product risk, transaction risk, and psychological risk. Therefore, marketplace companies must be able to minimize the risks present in the marketplace in order to foster trust in making purchasing decisions. Based on the aforementioned description, the researchers were interested in conducting a new study entitled "The Effect Ease of Use and Perceived Risk on Purcasing Decisions on Marketplace Shopee ".

Hypothesis in this study is:

- H1: Ease of Use has a significant effect on purchasing decisions.
- H2: Perceived Risk has a significant effect on purchasing decisions.
- H3: Ease of Use and Perceived Risk have a significant effect on purchasing decisions.

## METHOD

This research is an explanatory research with

a quantitative approach. The study was conducted in Kediri City, with the population being the Shopee marketplace users in the city, for which the exact population size is unknown. The sampling technique used in this study was non-probability sampling with purposive sampling technique, with the criteria for respondents being residents of Kediri City, having used the Shopee marketplace, having made purchases on the Shopee marketplace, and aged between 20 and 40 years old. This study utilized primary data obtained through the distribution of questionnaires (surveys) via Google Form to residents of Kediri City who met the criteria as respondents in the study. The secondary data used in this study were from books, previous research findings, and other relevant literature.

The sample size used in this study was 100 respondents. The determination of the sample size in this study used the Lemeshow formula, as the population size was unknown (Levy & Lemeshow, 2013). The calculation using the Lemeshow formula yielded a result of 96 respondents, which was then rounded up by the researcher to 100 respondents for ease of research.

In this study, the researcher conducted validity and reliability tests to ensure the validity and reliability of the distributed questionnaires. The validity test compared the calculated r-value with the tabled r-value using the degree of freedom (df) = n - 2, with a significance level of 5% or 0.05. The reliability test used cronbach's alpha with a value of 0.60. The author used the spss version 23 for data analysis.

This study employed multiple linear regression analysis to meet the requirements of the multiple linear regression model. The classic assumption tests included: 1) normality test using graphical analysis and kolmogorov-smirnov statistical analysis, with the decision rule stating that if the asympttic significant value (2-tailed) > 0.05, then the residual values are normally distributed. 2) multicollinearity test by examining the tolerance and variance inflation factor (vif) values. The threshold for tolerance is 0.10 and the threshold for vif is 10, if the tolerance value is < 0.10 and the vif value is > 10, then multicollinearity is present. If the opposite is true, then multicollinearity is not present (Ghozali, 2016). 3) heteroscedasticity test can be examined by observing any patterns in the scatterplot graph. 4) autocorrelation test using the run test to examine autocorrelation. 5) linearity test using decision rule stating that if the calculated fvalue < f-table, then there is a linear relationship.

Multiple linear regression analysis is

conducted using the least squares equation y = a + bb1x1 + b2x2 + e to determine the strength of the independent variables on the dependent variable (Sugivono, 2016). Hypothesis testing, partial t-test, simultaneous f-test, and determination test r2 are then conducted. The decision rule for the t-test (partial) is that if the calculated probability value < the significance level of 0.05 (sig <  $\alpha$  0.05), then h0 is rejected and ha is accepted. However, if the calculated probability value > the significance level of 0.05 (sig >  $\alpha$  0.05), then h0 is accepted and ha is rejected. The decision rule for the f-test (simultaneous) is that if the calculated f-value > the f-table value or sig  $< \alpha$ , then h0 is rejected, and ha is accepted, indicating a significant simultaneous effect. The determination test r2 is conducted to examine how the variation in the dependent variable is influenced by the variation in the independent variables.

# RESULTS Validity test

Table 1 Validity test results

Variable	Item	r count	r table	Information
	1	0,799	0,198	Valid
V/1	2	0,838	0,198	Valid
	3	0,804	0,198	Valid
ΛI	4	0,838	0,198	Valid
	5	0,752	0,198	Valid
	6	0,637	0,198	Valid
	1	0,631	0,198	Valid
	2	0,729	0,198	Valid
vo	3	0,641	0,198	Valid
ΛZ	4	0,632	0,198	Valid
	5	0,717	0,198	Valid
	6	0,639	0,198	Valid
	1	0,625	0,198	Valid
	2	0,671	0,198	Valid
v	3	0,650	0,198	Valid
1	4	0,502	0,198	Valid
	5	0,730	0,198	Valid
	6	0,696	0,198	Valid

Source: Primary data processed by researchers (2023)

Based on the validity test conducted by the researcher, all 18 statements showed an r count greater than the r table of 0.198. Therefore, it can be concluded that all statements are considered valid.

### **Reliability test**

Table	2	Relia	bility	Test	Results
-------	---	-------	--------	------	---------

Variable	Cronbach's Alpha
Easy of Use	0,869
Perceived Risk	0,738
purchasing decisions	0,718

Source: Primary data processed by researchers (2023)

The reliability test conducted by the researcher revealed that all statements had cronbach's alpha values greater than 0.60. Hence, it can be inferred that all statements are reliable.

# **Classical Assumption Tests**

# Normality Test

# Graphical Analysis.

The normality test using the Test Normal probability plot graph shows that the data is spread around the diagonal line and follows the direction of the diagonal line. This shows a normal distribution pattern.

#### **Statistic Analysis**

Based on the results of the normality test using the kolmogrov-smirnov test, an asymptotic (2tailed) significance value was obtained of 0.200 > asignificance level of 0.05. Therefore, it can be concluded that the data is normally distributed.

# **Multicollinearity Test**

Based on the results of the Multicollinearity test, the tolerance value is 0.996 > 0.10, and the VIF value is 1.004 < 10. Thus it can be concluded that there is no multicollinearity in this model.

# Heteroscedasticity Test

Based on the heteroscedasticity test using the scatterplot graph, it can be seen that the data points are randomly scattered both above and below the value of 0 on the y axis. This shows that there is no heteroscedasticity in the regression model.

# Autocorrelation Test

Based on the results of the autocorrelation test using the runs test, the asmp.sig (2-tailed) value of 0.315 is greater than the significance level of 0.05 or 0.315 > 0.05. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is no autocorrelation in the regression model.

#### Linearity test

	T	able	3	Line	earity	Tes	t R	lesu	lts
--	---	------	---	------	--------	-----	-----	------	-----

Variable	F Count	F Table	Information
Ease of use	1,195	2,69	Linier
Perceived risk	2,565	2,69	Linier
Duran Drimony	data pr	occord	by recorrebore

Source: Primary data processed by researchers (2023)

From the table above, the calculated F Count < F table, indicating that all variables have a linear relationship.

#### **Multiple linear Regression Analysis**

Y= 19.228+0.356X1- 0.197X2+e

Constant value 19.288, If the variables Ease of use (X1) and Perceived Risk (X2) are both 0, the purchasing decision (Y) will be 19.228.

Coefficient of X1 0.356, the Ease of use variable (X1) has a positive value, indicating that for every increase of 1 unit in the Ease of use variable (X1), the purchasing decision (Y) will increase by 0.356. Conversely, if the Ease of use variable decreases by 1 unit, it will decrease the purchasing decision by 0.356.

Coefficient of X2 - 0.197, the Perceived Risk variable (X2) has a negative value of 0.197, indicating a contrary effect. This means that for every increase of 1 unit in the Perceived Risk variable (X2), the purchasing decision will decrease by 0.197. Conversely, if the Perceived Risk variable decreases by 1 unit, it will increase the purchasing decision by 0.197.

# Hypothesis testing

# T Test (Partial)

Based on partial test, the significance value of the Ease of Use variable is 0.000 < 0.05. This means that H0 is rejected and Ha is accepted, indicating that Ease of Use has a significant partial effect on purchasing decisions.

Similarly, the significance value of the Perceived Riskvariable is 0.002, < 0.05. This means that H0 is rejected and Ha is accepted, indicating that Perceived Riskhas a significant partial effect on purchasing decisions.

# F Test (Simultaneous)

Based on Simultaneous test, the simultaneous testing results show that the F Count is 19.831, > F table of 2.69. Additionally, the significance value obtained is 0.00, < significance evel of 0.05. Therefore, H0 is rejected, and Ha is accepted. This indicates that there is a significant simultaneous influence between the Ease of Use variable (X1), Perceived Riskvariable (X2), and the Purchasing decisions variable (Y) on the Shopee marketplace in Kediri city.

#### **Determination Test R2**

Based on the table above, the Adjusted R-Square value is 0.276, which translates to 27.6% when expressed as a percentage. This means that the combined contribution of the Ease of Use and Perceived Riskvariables to the Purchasing decisions on Shopee marketplace in Kediri city is 27.6%, while the remaining 72.4% is influenced by other variables not examined in this study.

#### DISCUSSION

Ease of use has a significant influence on purchasing decisionss in the marketplace in Kediri city. This is supported by the results of the T-test, which indicates that the significance value for the ease of use variable is 0.00 < 0.05, this accepting hypothesis (Ha). This research reinforces previous studies conducted by (Sudarwanto et al., 2021), (Java & Haryadi, 2022) and (Septiani & Widayatsari, 2020). According to (Muhammad Dimas Djoyo Naufal, 2022) ease of use is a level where individuals believe that an application or platform can be easily understood. The application or platform should be fast and easy to use for users, as it determines whether the application is user-friendly or difficult to use. According to (Li et al., 2020) a comfortable marketplace platform is a determining factor that enhances online purchasing decisionss (Petcharat & Leelasantitham, 2021).

Perceived risk has a significant effect on purchasing decisions in the marketplace in the city of Kediri. This is supported by the results of the T test which shows that the significance value of the perceived Riskvariable is 0.00 < 0.05, so the hypothesis (Ha) is accepted. This finding is in line with the research conducted by (Alghifari, A.f., dan Rahayu, 2021), which showed that perceived risk significantly influences purchasing decisions on Shopee, an online marketplace. The coefficient for perceived risk is -0.197, indicating a negative effect on purchasing decisions on Shopee in Kediri city. The lower the perceived risk that may harm consumers in making purchases on Shopee, the higher their purchasing decisions will be. On the other hand, if users have a high perception of risk, it will lower their purchasing decisions on Shopee in Kediri city. This is in line with the research conducted by (Riyono et al., 2019) which found that perceived risk has a negative and significant impact on online purchasing decisionss. Individuals can assess the risk information of the products they are going to buy on the marketplace through positive or negative product reviews, which shapes their perception of the risk associated with the purchased product (Fernandes et al., 2022).

Negative comments reflect individuals' evaluation that the purchased product does not meet their expectations, and this factor influences others in making purchasing decisions on the marketplace (Yang et al., 2022).

The ease of use and perceived risk both have a significant simultaneous influence on purchasing decisions. The results of the F-test show that the F count of 19.831 is greater than the table F value of 2.69, and the significance value of  $0.00 < \alpha 0.05$ , indicating that the null hypothesis (H0) is rejected and the hypothesis (Ha) is accepted. This means that there is a significant simultaneous influence between the variables of Ease of Use (X1), Perceived Risk (X2), and Purchasing Decisions (Y) on the Shopee marketplace in Kediri city. These findings are consistent with the research conducted by (Salsabila, 2021) Therefore, the ease of using the application or platform and the risk associated with purchasing products or services on the application or platform will lead to a decision to purchase the products.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The first hypothesis was accepted, Ease of Use has a significant influence on purchasing decisions in the marketplace in Kediri city. The higher the level of ease of use provided to consumers, the higher their purchasing decisions for products or services in the marketplace.

The second hypothesis was accepted, Perceived Risk also has a significant influence on purchasing decisions in the marketplace in Kediri city. The lower the perceived risk of consumers, the higher their purchasing decisions in the marketplace. Conversely, if consumers have a high perception of risk, it will decrease their purchasing decisions.

The third hypothesis was accepted, Ease of Use and Perceived Risk simultaneously have a significant influence on purchasing decisions. This means that the variables of ease of use and perceived risk mutually affect each other in influencing consumer purchasing decisions in the marketplace in Kediri city.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to everyone, especially my parents, college friends, management study program lecturers who have participated in the preparation of this research article

#### REFERENCES

Agustiningrum, D., & Andjarwati, A. L. (2021). Pengaruh Kepercayaan, Kemudahan, dan Keamanan terhadap Keputusan Pembelian di Marketplace. *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen*, 9(3), 896– 906. https://doi.org/10.26740/jim.v9n3.p896-906

- Alatas, A. N., Hidayatullah, D. S., Bisnis, F. E., Telkom, U., Risk, P., Use, E. O., & Pembelian, K. (2019). PENGARUH PERCEIVED RISK OF USE DAN EASE TERHADAP **KEPERCAYAAN** DAN **KEPUTUSAN** PEMBELIAN ( STUDI KASUS PENGGUNA SITUS BELANJA ONLINE BUKALAPAK THE EFFECT OF PERCEIVED RISK AND EASE OF USE ON TRUST AND **PURCHASE** INTENTION ( CASE STUDY USER 'S ONLINE SHOPPING S. 6(2), 2668-2677.
- Alghifari, A.f., dan Rahayu, T. S. M. (2021). Pengaruh Diskon, Kualitas Website, Persepsi Risiko Dan Kepercayaan Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Pada Online Shop Shopee: Studi Pada Mahasiswa Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto. *Derivatif Jurnal Manajemen*, 15(2), 223–236.
- Asosiasi Penyelenggara Jasa Internet Indonesia. (n.d.). Retrieved January 11, 2023, from https://apjii.or.id/survei/surveiprofilinterne tindonesia2022-21072047
- Fernandes, S., Panda, R., Venkatesh, V. G., Swar, B. N., & Shi, Y. (2022). Measuring the impact of online reviews on consumer purchase decisions – A scale development study. *Journal* of Retailing and Consumer Services, 68, 103066. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jre tconser.2022.103066
- Ghozali, I. (2016). Aplikasi analisis multivariete IBM SPSS 23. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang.
- Haryani, D. S. (2019). Pengaruh Persepsi Risiko Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Online Di Tanjungpinang. *Jurnal Dimensi*, 8(2), 198–209. https://doi.org/10.33373/dms.v8i2.2155
- Iprice ,Top 50 E-Commerce Sites & Apps in Indonesia. (n.d.). Retrieved January 12, 2023, from https://iprice.co.id/insights/mapofecommer ce/en/
- Jaya, V. L., & Haryadi, G. L. (2022). The Influence of Price, Product Review, Brand Image, Trust, Ease of Use, Product Details, Scarcity, and Social Media towards Buying Decision in Blibli Marketplace in Indonesia. *IBuss Management*, 10(1).
- Levy, P. S., & Lemeshow, S. (2013). *Sampling of populations: methods and applications*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Li, X., Zhao, X., & Pu, W. (2020). Measuring ease of

use of mobile applications in e-commerce retailing from the perspective of consumer online shopping behaviour patterns. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 55, 102093.

- Mar'atul Fahimah, E. M. M. (2019). Fakultas ekonomi dan bisnis universitas wiraraja sumenep. *Minat Beli Pada Marketplace Unicorn Di Indonesia : Tokopedia Dan Bukalapak, 9*(1), 1– 12.
- Muhammad Dimas Djoyo Naufal, S. N. (2022). PENGARUH PROMOSI DAN KEMUDAHAN PENGGUNAAN APLIKASI TERHADAP KEPUTUSAN PEMBELIAN SHOPEEFOOD PADA MAHASISWA UNIVERSITAS DIRGANTARA MARSEKAL SURYADARMA JAKARTA. 12(2), 1–12.
- Petcharat, T., & Leelasantitham, A. (2021). A retentive consumer behavior assessment model of the online purchase decision-making process. *Heliyon*, 7(10), e08169. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.he liyon.2021.e08169
- Ramadhani, A. S., & Irda. (2022). Pengaruh Consumer Review dan Kemudahan Penggunaan terhadap Keputusan Pembelian pada Marketplace Shopee di Kota Padang. *Abstract of Undergraduate Research, Faculty of Economics, Bung Hatta University, 21*(2), 1–3. https://ejurnal.bunghatta.ac.id/index.php/JF EK/article/view/21089
- Riyono, Astono, A. D., & Novitasari, R. (2019). Pengaruh Kepercayaan Dan Persepsi Resiko Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Online Oleh Mahasiswa (Studi Kasus Pada Mahasiswa Stie Aka Semarang). *Ilmu Manajemen Dan Akuntansi Terapan (JIMAT)*, 10(2017), 61–71. http://jurnal.stietotalwin.ac.id/index.php/ji mat/article/view/185
- Salsabila, H. Z. (2021). Pengaruh Persepsi Risiko, Manfaat, Dan Kemudahan Penggunaan. Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Kesatuan, 9(1), 75–84. https://doi.org/10.37641/jimkes.v9i1.442
- Septiani, I. T., & Widayatsari, A. (2020). Pengaruh Kepercayaan Dan Kemudahan Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Dan Kepuasan Konsumen Produk Fashion Shopie Paris Di Kota Pekanbaru. Jurnal Tepak Manajemen Bisnis, 12(2), 287.
- Suaidi, I., Udayana, I. B. N., & Maharani, B. D. (2022). Pengaruh persepsi risiko, e-trust dan e-service quality terhadap keputusan pembelian pada ecommerce shope. 4(4), 787–797.
- Sudarwanto, Y., Lukitaningsih, A., & Maharani, B. D. (2021). Pengaruh Keamanan, Kemudahan

Penggunaan, Dan Kepercayaan Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Pada Situs Lazada (Studi Kasus Pada Masiswa Fakultas Ekonomi Ust). *Jurnal Bina Bangsa Ekonomika*, 14(02), 337– 345.

- Sugiyono. (2016). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, R&D. IKAPI.
- Yang, L., Xu, M., & Xing, L. (2022). Exploring the core factors of online purchase decisions by building an E-Commerce network evolution model. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 64, 102784. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jre tconser.2021.102784
- Yap, N., Rahmadi, A. N., Ruhamak, M. D., & Ayu, R. G. (2022). The Effect of After Sales Service on Online Shop Consumer Satisfaction (Survey of Tokopedia and Shopee Consumers at Kadiri University). 7(3), 325–332.
- Yunita, N. R., Sumarsono, H., & Farida, U. (2019). PENGARUH PERSEPSI RISIKO, KEPERCAYAAN, DAN **KEAMANAN** KEPUTUSAN TERHADAP PEMBELIAN ONLINE DI BUKA LAPAK (Studi Kasus Pada Buka Lapak Ponorogo). Komunitas ISOQUANT: Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen Dan Akuntansi, 90. 3(1), https://doi.org/10.24269/iso.v3i1.243